

Taylor and Francis

Below criterion can be used as a guidance to review the manuscript submitted for our future conferences. Please use it accordingly.

Article Format

Available	Not Available
The Manuscript follows the rules should there are any specified format for the article writing and preparation	The Manuscript unsuccessfully obliged to the specified format given for the manuscript writing and preparation

Aligned Conference Scope

Available	Not Available
The Manuscript topics are listed on our field of conference topics and or considered to be quite related with the topic listed based on the reviewer point of view	The Manuscript is not related with the field conference topics

Scientific Impact

Available	Not Available
The manuscript provides scientific implication toward the field of study, school of thought, or wider scholarship	Authors manuscript is not able to provide scientific implication the field of study, school of thought, or wider scholarship

Novelty

Available	Not Available
Authors manuscript provides ideas/framework/models or result that are considered to be novel/original	Authors manuscript is not able to provides ideas/framework/models or result that are considered to be novel/original

Analysis & Synthesis

Available	Not Available
Authors manuscript provides valid findings and fruitful discussion	Authors manuscript is not able to provides valid findings and fruitful discussion

Conclusion

Available	Not Available
The manuscript provides conclusion that are aligned with the literature and findings	The manuscript is not able to provides conclusion that are aligned with the literature and findings

Reference

Available	Not Available
The references are systematically provided and are recent, unless if there are no recent references and or referring to the core literature	The references are not systematically provided and are outdated

Scitepress

Below criterion can be used as a guidance to review the manuscript submitted for our future conferences. Please use it accordingly.

Title Formatting

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
The manuscript follow the specified title formatting	The manuscript did not specifically followed the specified formatting	The manuscript stated the title but the format is not clear	The manuscript title is not available

Name & Affiliation Format

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
The manuscript follow the specified name and affiliation formatting	The manuscript did not specifically follow the specified name and affiliation formatting	The manuscript stated the name and affiliation, however did not use the specified formattig	The manuscript name and affiliation is not available

Keywords

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
The keywords are specifically related with the topic of manuscript	The keywords are related with the topic of manuscript	The keywords are somehow related with the topic of manuscript	The keywords are not related with the topic of manuscript

Abstract (70-200 words)

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
The abstract shows the summary of background, research design, result and discussion, with a minimum 70 words and maximum 200 words	The abstract shows the summary the paper but not following the specific number of words eligible	The abstract vividly shows the summary of background, research design, result and discussion	The abstract is not related with the overall manuscript discussion

Introduction

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
The introduction is well presented and able to give clear description towards the current condition or phenomenon that becomes the object	The introduction is able to give clear description towards the phenomenon that becomes the object of research, but not in systematic way	The introduction is vividly able to give clear description towards the current condition or phenomenon that becomes the object of research	The introduction is not able to give clear description towards the current condition or phenomenon that becomes the object of research

of research systematically			
----------------------------	--	--	--

Methods

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
The methods or research design is systematically presented and able to accommodate the research process	The methods or research design is presented and able to accommodate the research process	The methods or research design is not align with the introduction yet able to accommodate the research process	The methods or research design is not systematically presented and not able to accommodate the research process

Result and Discussion

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
The result is provided and followed by a systematic and effective discussion	The result is provided and followed by effective discussion	The result is not specifically aligned with the research background and methods discussion	The result is not aligned with the research background and methods discussion

Article Format

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
The manuscript follow the precise given formatting	The manuscript follow given formatting, yet not precise	The manuscript is neat but not follow the given formatting	The manuscript did not followed the precise formatting

References

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
The references are recent and systematically presented by using specified format	The references are recent and systematically presented but not using specified format	The references are quite outdated but the manuscript is presented by using specified format	The references are outdated and not presented by using specified format

Quality of English Language

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
The use of the language is understandable. The cohesion and coherence of the paper is presented effectively.	The use of the language is understandable. The manuscript shows cohesion and coherence	The use of the language is vividly understandable.	The language is not understandable and failed to convey the meaning

Abstract Regular Conference

Below criterion can be used as a guidance to review the manuscript submitted for our future conferences. Please use it accordingly.

Purpose

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
The purpose of the research is well presented and able to give clear description towards the current condition or phenomenon that becomes the object of research systematically	The purpose of the research is able to give clear description towards the phenomenon that becomes the object of research, but not in systematic way	The purpose of the research is vividly able to give clear description towards the current condition or phenomenon that becomes the object of research	The purpose of the research is not able to give clear description towards the current condition or phenomenon that becomes the object of research

Design/Methodology/Approach

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
The research design is able to give clear description towards how the research process can deliver the result	The research design are mentioned but are not specifically correlated to give clear description towards how the research process can deliver the result	The research design is vividly able to give clear description towards how the research process can deliver the result	The research design is not able to give clear description towards how the research process can deliver the result

Theoretical Background

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
The theoretical background is original and can provide the gaps of the research	The theoretical background is somewhat original and can provide the gaps of the research	The theoretical background is quite common but can provide the gaps of the research	The theoretical background is not specified and not able to provide the gaps of the research

Findings

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
The findings are valid and is presented systematically	The findings are considered valid but not presented systematically	The findings are considered valid but not presented systematically	The findings are not valid

Research Limitations

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
The limitation is clearly given and aligned with the findings	The limitation is given and aligned with the findings	The limitation is not clearly given and somehow not aligned with the findings	The limitation is not given and not aligned with the findings

Originality of Value

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
The research is original and able to provide novelty towards both the scientific and practical scholarship	The research is quite original and able to provide novelty towards the scientific and practical scholarship	The research is not original but able to provide novelty towards between the scientific and practical scholarship	The research is not original

Readability and Writing Style

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
The paper is understandable and very systematic	The paper is understandable and systematic	The paper is vividly understandable	The paper is incomprehensible

Quality of English Language

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
The use of the language is understandable. The cohesion and coherence of the paper is presented effectively.	The use of the language is understandable. The manuscript shows cohesion and coherence	The use of the language is vividly understandable.	The language is not understandable and failed to convey the meaning

Topic Suitability

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
The topic is suitable with the conference field of study, which is mentioned in each conference website	The topic is quite suitable with the conference field of study and or similar even though did not specifically stated in the conference website	The topic is not really suitable with the conference field of study	The topic is not suitable with the conference field of study

Abstract – Partner Conference

Below criterion can be used as a guidance to review the manuscript submitted for our future conferences. Please use it accordingly.

Purpose

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
The purpose of the research is well presented and able to give clear description towards the current condition or phenomenon that becomes the object of research systematically	The purpose of the research is able to give clear description towards the phenomenon that becomes the object of research, but not in systematic way	The purpose of the research is vividly able to give clear description towards the current condition or phenomenon that becomes the object of research	The purpose of the research is not able to give clear description towards the current condition or phenomenon that becomes the object of research

Design/Methodology/Approach

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
The research design is able to give clear description towards how the research process can deliver the result	The research design are mentioned but are not specifically correlated to give clear description towards how the research process can deliver the result	The research design is vividly able to give clear description towards how the research process can deliver the result	The research design is not able to give clear description towards how the research process can deliver the result

Theoretical Background

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
The theoretical background is original and can provide the gaps of the research	The theoretical background is somewhat original and can provide the gaps of the research	The theoretical background is quite common but can provide the gaps of the research	The theoretical background is not specified and not able to provide the gaps of the research

Findings

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
The findings are valid and is presented systematically	The findings are considered valid but not presented systematically	The findings are considered valid but not presented systematically	The findings are not valid

Research Limitations

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
The limitation is clearly given and aligned with the findings	The limitation is given and aligned with the findings	The limitation is not clearly given and somehow not aligned with the findings	The limitation is not given and not aligned with the findings

Originality of Value

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
The research is original and able to provide novelty towards both the scientific and practical scholarship	The research is quite original and able to provide novelty towards the scientific and practical scholarship	The research is not original but able to provide novelty towards between the scientific and practical scholarship	The research is not original

Readability and Writing Style

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
The paper is understandable and very systematic	The paper is understandable and systematic	The paper is vividly understandable	The paper is incomprehensible

Quality of English Language

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
The use of the language is understandable. The cohesion and coherence of the paper is presented effectively.	The use of the language is understandable. The manuscript shows cohesion and coherence	The use of the language is vividly understandable.	The language is not understandable and failed to convey the meaning

Topic Suitability

Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor
The topic is suitable with the conference field of study, which is mentioned in each conference website	The topic is quite suitable with the conference field of study and or similar even though did not specifically stated in the conference website	The topic is not really suitable with the conference field of study	The topic is not suitable with the conference field of study